



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/739,737	12/20/2000	Tatsuhisa Nitta	P107254-00004	4987

23353 7590 10/06/2003

RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC
LION BUILDING
1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER

NGUYEN, KIMNHUNG T

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2674

10

DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/739,737

Applicant(s)

NITTA ET AL.

Examiner

Kimnhung Nguyen

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 June 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been examined. The claims 1-10 are pending. The examination results are as following.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goddard (US patent 5,502,808) in view of Chang et al. (6,618,773) and in view Lvovsky et al. (US patent 5,159,683).

Goddard et al. disclose in figure 1 a display apparatus for displaying images based on signals received from a host (2) comprising determining means for determine an appropriate one of a plurality of interface types (Graphics processor, VGA hardware subsystem) to connect the display apparatus and the host; a plurality of storage means (3, 8). However, Goddard et al. do not disclose each storing specification information relating to the display for each one of the interface types to be connected, and an output means for switching between the plurality of storage means based upon the determination by determining means for outputting, from the storage means to the host, the specification information corresponding to the appropriate one of the interface types determined by

Art Unit: 2674

said determining means. Chang et al. disclose in figures 1-3 a computer system (100) including each identification file (202, 204) identifies one of the display monitor's two interfaces (EDID 202, EDID 204) and the both are connected (see abstract, see column 6, lines 24-38). Lvovsky et al. disclose in figure 2, an automatic monitor sensing (38) graphic controller for use with a computer and a display monitor, the system having an outputs means for switching (see output of transistors 44, 48, see column 3, lines 44-52) between a computer and a display monitor (see column 2, lines 39-59), the controller (30) comprising a buffer (32) from the computer, and connected to 9-pin connector (36) of a display terminal or monitor, the signals or connections which are indicative of each type of monitor, e.g., monochromatic, conventional color...(see column 3, lines 4-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of system having two distinct specifications EDID (202, 204) interfaces as taught by Chang et al. and an output of transistors 44, 48 are stored from a computer and a plurality of signal lines, and connected to 9-pin connector (36) of a display terminal or monitor as taught by Lvovsky et al. into the display apparatus having a plurality of storage means of Goddard et al. because this would be identified each interface as unique monitor with its own characteristics (see Chang et al., column 6, lines 26-30), and for the switching, it would be connected to both the signal lines and the display monitor and determined the capability of the display monitor (see Lvovsky et al. see abstract).

Art Unit: 2674

Regarding claim 9, Chang et al. disclose the specification information is EDID necessary for the Plug-and-play function (see abstract).

4. Claims 2 and 4-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goddard et al (US patent 5,502,808) in view of Chang et al. (6,618,773) and Lvovsky et al. (US patent 5,159,683) as applied as claim 1, and in view of the Title "Digital Visual Interface" cited by applicant).

Goddard et al. Chang et al. and Lvovsky et al. disclose a display apparatus in claim 1 as discussed above. Furthermore, Goddard et al. disclose VGA interface (see figures 1-2). Chang et al. disclose the specification information is EDID necessary for Plug-and Play function (see abstract) and a DDC (208a, Display Data Channel)(see figure 2, column 6, lines 1-5). However, Goddard et al., Lvovsky et al. and Chang et al. do not disclose a storage means for DVI-I interface, and a DC current circuit. The "Digital Visual Interface" discloses in pages 25 and 43 that DVI interface and a DC current circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of the Title "Digital Visual Interface" discloses in pages 25 and 43 having DVI-I interface, and a DC current circuit into the system display of Goddard et al., Chang et al. and Lvovsky et al. for producing the claimed invention because this would provide allow a monitor with a digital interface to attach directly to either system connector.

Art Unit: 2674

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goddard et al. (US patent 5,502,808) and Chang et al. (US patent 6,618,773) in view of Lvovsky et al. (US patent 5,159,683) as applied claim 1 and in view of Gradinariu (US patent 6,378,008).

Goddard et al. Chang et al. and Lvovsky et al. disclose a display apparatus for displaying images based on signals received from a host as discussed in claim 1 above. However, Goddard et al. Chang et al. and Lvovsky et al. do not disclose that the output means comprises a multiplexer. Gradinariu discloses in figure 3 that the output comprises a multiplexer (495) which carries the data out off the memory circuit. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the teaching of Gradinariu in the system of Goddard et al., Chang et al. and Lvovsky et al. for producing the claimed invention because this would provide the output the logic state of a selected memory cell.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimnhung Nguyen whose telephone number (703) 308-0425.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **RICHARD A HJERPE** can be reached on **(703) 305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D. C. 20231

Or faxed to:


Art Unit: 2674

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivery response should be brought to: Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington, VA Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding
should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone
number is (703) 306-0377.

Kimnhung Nguyen
September 12, 2003



RICHARD HJERPE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600